Contextual Suggestion

Abhishek Mallik
Department of Computer Science and Enginerring
IIT Bombay
Powai, Mumbai 400076, India

Mandar Mitra
Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Unit
ISI Kolkata
Kolkata 700 108, <u>India</u>

Kripabandhu Ghosh Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Unit ISI Kolkata Kolkata 700 108, <u>India</u>

October 16, 2012

Abstract

The goal of this project is to build a Contextual Suggestion system that will recommend the user a ranked list of suggestions depending on user's context as well as her preferences.

In this context we present an algorithm based on the regular expression for extracting time and address information from different websites for the places. Then we have suggested a ranking function that can utilize these timing and address information of those places as well as the users' context and preferences to rank the places. Here the pages are unstructured but the address is structured i.e. template based as we have worked with only the places located at Toronto, Canada.

1 Introduction

Main motivation behind this task is to build future information retrieval systems that must anticipate user needs and respond with information appropriate to the current context without the user having to enter an explicit query. In a mobile context such a system might take the form of an application that recommends interesting places and activities based on the user's location and time and other factor such as her preference etc.

Report Docume	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collectincluding suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headqu VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding a does not display a currently valid OMB control number.	tion of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate narters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of this collection of information, s, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE		3. DATES COVERED	
NOV 2012	2. REPORT TYPE	00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Contextual Suggestion		5b. GRANT NUMBER	
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
		5e. TASK NUMBER	
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND AI IIT Bombay, Department of Computer Mumbai 400076, India,	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribut	ion unlimited		
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the Twenty-First Text RI November 6-9, 2012. The conference v Technology (NIST) the Defense Advar Research and Development Activity (A	vas co-sponsored by the National Inst aced Research Projects Agency (DAR	itute of Standards and PA) and the Advanced	
14. ABSTRACT The goal of this project is to build a Colist of suggestions depending on user's algorithm based on the regular express websites for the places. Then we have address information of those places as the page6 are unstructured but the ad the places located at Toronto, Canada	context as well as her preferences. In sion for extra.ting time and address is suggested a ranking function that can well as the users' context and prefer dress is structured i.e. template based	n this context we pr?6ent an nformation from different n utilize these timing and ences to rank the places. Here	
15. SUBJECT TERMS			

c. THIS PAGE

unclassified

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:

b. ABSTRACT

unclassified

a. REPORT

unclassified

17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Same as

Report (SAR)

18. NUMBER OF PAGES

9

19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

- Step 3 Google Map also gives some status messages along with the result regarding whether the place is closed or not. So we check if there is an associated status message saying that "The place is permanently closed". If not, we add the address to the address list ² of that place. So by utilizing this feature of Google Map we have made our algorithm more reliable.
- Step 4 Repeat step 2 and 3 until end of the last result of the page is encountered (when there are multiple such results on the page).
- Step 5 If any address is found in the above steps, then stop, else goto next step.
- Step 6 Repeat Step 1 with Google search³ to find the address and download first result page.
- Step 7 Result page basically consists of a collection of URLs with associated titles and snippets. We apply address extraction step to search for potential address patterns in those snippets of the results, starting from the top of the result page.
- Step 8 If the best matched address pattern returned by the address extraction step contains full adress then we stop. Otherwise if partial address is found in the snipper, then that URL associated with the snippet is crawled with the hope of finding the full address.
- Step 9 So if full address found then stop, if again a partial address found after crawling the URL, go to next step, else go to step 10.
- Step 10 Query www.yelp.ca⁴ with the title name and partial address, return the full address if found, otherwise the partial address, that has been found earlier, is returned.
- Step 11 Here we crawl the URL of the example itself (that is also given with each exmaple in the file examples.txt) upto depth 2⁵ and then applied the following address extraction step on the crawled pages.
- Address Extraction Step For that step, we have surveyed quite a large number of addresses in Canada (as all the examples are taken from Toronto, Onatrio). We have found that most of them follow a particular template as follows: They start with street number (in digit) followed by street Name followed by one of these terms Street, St., Road, Rd., Boulevard, Blvd., Avenue, Ave., Parkway, Pky, Highway, Hwy, Broadway, Way, Wy, Drive, Dr, Turnpike followed by one of these

²There may be more than one outlets of a shop or restaurant in a city

³ http://www.google.com

⁴yelp, ca is a well established aggregator site in Canda that contains information about restaurants in Canada

⁵Usually for all of such websites addresses are found at depth ≤ 2

terms North, South, East, West followed by term Toronto then Ontario/ON and then postal code which is in alpha-numeric format followed by Country Name.

But sometimes they deviate from this by certain amount, for example Country Name, the direction North, South, East and West are not present in all of them. So we have made a regular expression using the above heuristic but not made the above requirements stringent.

As a result with the valid address expressions a few meaningless expressions also have got returned which have nothing to do with an address. So those matched expressions was again scored, based on how much they are close to the above template. Expression having maximum score is returned, which is nothing but the valid postal address we are looking for.

2.2 Temporal Interval Extraction Algorithm

Temporal Intervals of geographic entities are expressed in various forms. Some of the motivating examples are as follows: To extract timing, we have used several heuristics in our algorithm as follows:

- Step 1 Query Google with the title of the example appended with "Toronto⁶ hours of operation", for example if the title is "CN Tower", we have formulated the query as "CN Tower Toronto hours of operation" and download the first result page. We have chosen the phrase "hours of operation" because mostly in the websites of places like restaurant, hotel, cafe, art gallery etc. timing information is specified as "hours of operation".
- Step 2 Parse the downloaded result page to collect URLs, titles and snippets of all results, store them in separate files.
- Step 3 Examine the URLs collected in the above step one by one from top to check if any of them conains the url of the example itself or contains the term "faq", as index page of a site and an url containing the term "faq" are more likely to contain the timing information. If any such URL is found, download the page at this URL, else, goto step 5.
- Step 4 Apply timing information extraction step (this will be described later in this report) on the downloaded page.
- Step 5 If any timing expression is found in the above step then stop, else goto next step.
- Step 6 Check rest of the results one by one from top whether its title contains title of concerned example or its associated URL contains the URL of the concerned example. If any of these two satisfies, goto next step else goto step 10.

⁶As here we are concerned with city of Toronto and there may be outlets of a brand (for example, Pizza Hut) all over the world, otherwise we may get erroneous result

- Step 7 Associated snippet checked to see if it contains certain phrases like hours of operation/operating hours/timing/hour, am/pm and mon/tue/wed/thu/.../daily etc. If yes, then the associated page from which this snippet has been generated is more likely to contain the timing information. So page at the associated URL is downloaded and then we goto next step else, goto step 10.
- Step 8 Apply timing information extraction step on the page which we have just downloaded in the above step.
- Step 9 If any timing expression is found in step 8 then stop, else goto next step.
- Step 10 This is again a fallback step. Here we crawl the URL of the concerned example itself upto depth 2⁷.
- Step 11 Parse all these web pages that we have crawled at step 10 and applied timing information extraction step on the crawled pages.
- Timing Extraction Step Similar to address extraction step, we have tried to come up with a regular expression for extracting timings also. As we have seen earlier timing information i.e. temporal interval may appear in several forms, that is why we have to turn and twist the regular expression several times in order to capture the interval accurately. So it is clear from this discussion so far that this temporal interval extraction requires a considerable amount of manual intervention.

Then we have scored the expressions that have matched with our final regular expression to determine which expression is most fit to appear as temporal interval of a geographic entity.

2.3 Computation of Geo-Temporal Match

To compute the geo-temporal match between the example place and user's context we shall first discuss about how we have utilized the addresses of the example places to determine their proximity with respect to the geographic contexts of the users, then we shall discuss about matching between the temporal intervals of the geographic entities and the temporal contexts of the users. So to utilize the users' geographic context, we have followed the approach as follows:

2.3.1 Finding geographical match

We cannot directly process the address directly, so to utlize them in ranking we have to map them to the geographic distance of that place. In order to do this, each of the postal address found in the above steps is passed as parameter to Yahoo! geocoding API⁸ to find corresponding latitude and longitude and

 $^{^7 {\}rm The}$ same logic in case of address extraction is applicable here i.e. usually all such websites contain timing information at depth ≤ 2

⁸ http://api.maps.yahoo.com/ajax/geocode?appid=onestep&qt=1 &id=m&qs="address"

then the geographic distance is calculated from user's location to that place by applying Vincent's formula, that takes latitudes and longitudes of two places and computes the geographic distance.

There are places having more than one outlets in a city and each of the outlet has a postal address. So for each of them we calculate the distance to the user's current geographic context and select the outlet with the minimum distance, which is only taken as the distance of the entity in the user's current context.

Further we normalize the distances as follows: for each context of the user, after getting its distance to each of the example place in the above step, we find the maximum distance max among them and divide each distance that I have computed earlier by max. As a result the resulting distances fall in the range between 0 and 1. So from the discussion so far, it is clear that we are successfully able to utilize user's geographic contxet.

2.3.2 Finding temporal match

Here like address we also postprocess the temporal interval that we have extracted in the earlier steps, as follows: Suppose for one place hours of operation is as follows: "Mon - Fri: 10am - 10pm Sat and Sun: 11am - 8pm". As we cannot incorporate timing information in this format in our ranking function, so it is converted to the following expression:

weekday, 1, morning, 1, 4, afternoon, 0, 5, evening, 0, 2, weekend, 1, morning, 2, 3, afternoon, 0, 5, evening, 0, 2

Here we have followed the format as follows: weekday, term1, morning, term2, term3, afternoon, term4, term3, evening, term5, term3, weekend, term6, morning, term2, term3, afternoon, term4, term3, evening, term5, term3

The meaning of each of the terms are given as follows:

```
term1 = number of weekdays the location is opened

term2 = (opening time of the location - 9 am 9)

term3 = (duration the location is opened during this 5 hours)

term4 = (opening time of the location - 1 pm)

term5 = (opening time of the location - 6 pm)

term6 = number of weekends the location is opened
```

While converting the timing information that we have extracted from the pages to the format (that we have shown above) we have faced a challenge. The timing information we have extracted for places are basically daywise of a week. But here in this task we are concerned with only "weekday" and "weekend".

⁹According to assumption in this track, morning session starts at 9 am, afternoon session starts at 1 pm, evening session starts at 6 pm and duration of each session is 5 hours

There are places where the business hours vary daywise in each week. So for handling that, we have simply taken the maximum of the opening times and minimum of the closing times for each place for weekdays and weekends separately and consider them as starting times and closing times of those places. We illustrate this with a simple example as follows:

Suppose there is a place A for which business hour is as follows: Mon-Wed: 10 AM-11PM, Thu and Fri: 11AM-9PM, Sat: 10AM-9PM, Sun: 10AM-5PM. In that case for "weekday", according to our logic we take opening time of A as 11 AM and closing time as 9 PM and for "weekend" we take opening time as 10AM and closing time as 5PM.

3 Ranking Function

To rank the example places first we have to determine how the spatial information and temporal information, that we have gathered already, can influence ranking function individually and then finally we shall combine their influences. So, we shall present first the ranking function itself and then explain influence of each of them separately.

$$rf() = \begin{cases} t_1 \times (-t_2 + t_3 + (1 - d)), & t_1 \ge 0 \\ (1 - d), & \text{Timing information is not found} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

3.1 Incorporating Geographic Information In Ranking Function

While considering the spatial information only, we can easily conclude that farther a place is from an user's geographiic context, lower is its rank and vice versa. We have incorporated the contribution of the distance in ranking function rf() as follows:

If the normalized distance (that we have talked about earlier) from a place to an user's geographic context is computed as d, then the contribution of the distance to ranking function rf() is (1-d) and that appears in the ranking function rf() as an + term in the equation 1.

3.2 Incorporating Temporal Information In Ranking Func-

Now we shall discuss the influence of timing information on the ranking function rf(). Like spatial information, timing information also plays a crucial role in the ranking function rf(). Timing information will come in three different forms in the ranking function:

First and most important thing is the probability that a place is opened on a "weekday" or a "weekend" (as these are the only two days of a week we are interested in the current task). We have calculated this probability t_1 as follows: For weekdays t_1 is the number of days between Monday to Friday, the place is

opened divided by 5 (which is the number of weekdays) and for weekend t_1 is number of days between Saturday and Sunday, it is opened divided by 2 (which is the number of weekends in a week). This term is the most important in the ranking function for the following simple reason i.e. if the place is not available in that day i.e. weekday or weekend, then whatever the distance between this place and current context is, it should get a score of 0. So we can incorporate the contribution of timing information in this probability form in ranking function rf() as follows: If the probability is t_1 , then we can write its contribution to the ranking function rf() as t_1 itself and it appears as an AND i.e a product term as shown in the above equation 1.

Second important thing is the time gap between the time a session (i.e. morning, afternoon or evening whichever is applicable with respect to user's context) starts and time the place is available in that session, which is basically captured by one among term2, term3 and term4 depending upon the user's temporal context. Larger this time gap is, less is the availability of the associated place in the current user's context. So later the place opens in a session, which is an user's current context, lower its rank will be in the ranked list. So it is quite clear that, ranking function rf() should be decreasing function of this time gap. So we have incorporated the contribution of this this time gap in ranking function rf() in equation 1 as follows: If the time gap is t, which is nothing but, one among term2, term4 or term5, depending on the user's current context, then the contribution of timing information in normalized form to the ranking function rf() is $-t_1$ (where $t_1 = t/5$) and it appears as a + term in equation 1.

The last form, by which the timing information can influence the ranking function rf(), can be explained as follows: in a session that is appropriate to user's current context, more duration a place is opened, more will it gets score, so higher will be its rank in the ranked list of places. It is quite clear that ranking function rf() should be increasing function of this time duration. So we have incorporated the contribution of temporal interval in the form of time duration in our ranking function rf() in equation 1 as follows:

Here we have taken the normalized time duration, which is nothing but term3/5 (term3 is actual time duration with which the place is opened in that session and according to ssumption of this task duration of each session is 5 hours). So if it is t_3 , then the contribution of timing information in this form to the ranking function rf() is t_3 i.e. the time duration in the normalized form itself and that also appears as a + term as shown in equation 1.

But there are few places, where we could not extract the timing information due to the reason of unavailability of this information or it was embedded in such a format we could not extract it.

4 Results

In this section we describe the results. There are 3 evaluation measures. The measures are P@5 for each of the following combinations of results:

WGT, GT and W. Due to space constraint, we are reporting here the result

for only the dimension $P@5_W$ (As for other two dimensions we have got worst result). Here following table shows per-context statistics based on mean scores over profiles.

Table 1: Table for the metric P@5_W

P(5)@W					
Context	Best	Median	Worst	Our value	
3	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.8000	
4	0.7000	0.3000	0.0000	0.7000	
9	0.7000	0.3000	0.0000	0.3000	
11	0.7000	0.3000	0.0000	0.4000	
12	0.6000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
13	1.0000	0.6000	0.0000	0.6000	
14	1.0000	0.4000	0.0000	1.0000	
15	0.8000	0.2000	0.0000	0.8000	
16	0.8000	0.3000	0.0000	0.8000	
18	1.0000	0.4000	0.0000	1.0000	
19	0.4000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2000	
20	0.4000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
22	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.2000	
23	0.7000	0.4000	0.0000	0.6000	
28	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.6000	
29	0.6000	0.2000	0.0000	0.0000	
30	0.8000	0.0000	0.0000	0.6000	
31	0.8000	0.2000	0.0000	0.2000	
32	0.8000	0.4000	0.1000	0.7000	
33	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.6000	
34	1.0000	0.4000	0.0000	0.8000	
35	0.8000	0.4000	0.2000	0.7000	
36	1.0000	0.6000	0.0000	1.0000	
37	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.6000	
38	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.8000	
39	1.0000	0.4000	0.0000	0.8000	
40	1.0000	0.6000	0.2000	1.0000	
41	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.4000	
42	0.9000	0.5000	0.1000	0.8000	
43	0.8000	0.2000	0.0000	0.4000	
44	1.0000	0.4000	0.0000	1.0000	
45	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.6000	
46	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
47	1.0000	0.6000	0.0000	1.0000	
50	0.8000	0.5000	0.1000	0.5000	

Above table shows a best, median and worst values over all runs submitted

to this track, as well as the same value for our run for the measure P@5_W per context. It is clear from the table that, almost in all the cases P@5_W for our run is close to the best result.

Now in the following tables we have reported per-profile statistics based on mean scores over contexts.

Table 2: Table for the metric P@5_W

P(5)@W						
Context	Best	Median	Worst	Our value		
2 .	0.6667	0.4000	0.0000	0.6667		
3	0.7333	0.2667	0.0000	0.7333		
6	0.8000	0.4000	0.0667	0.8000		
8	0.8667	0.4667	0.2000	0.7333		
11	0.7333	0.2667	0.0000	0.6667		
14	0.6667	0.4000	0.0000	0.6000		
16	1.0000	0.4000	0.0000	1.0000		
17	0.6000	0.4000	0.0000	0.6000		
18	0.8000	0.4000	0.0000	0.8000		
19	0.3000	0.1000	0.0000	0.1000		
21	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
22	0.7333	0.2000	0.0000	0.7333		
23	0.4000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2000		
25	0.8667	0.6000	0.2667	0.8667		
26	0.8000	0.3000	0.0000	0.7000		
27	0.7333	0.5333	0.0667	0.4000		
28	0.6000	0.2000	0.0000	0.0000		
31	1.0000	0.4000	0.0000	1.0000		
33	0.6000	0.3333	0.0000	0.2667		

Above table shows a best, median and worst values over all runs submitted to this track, as well as the same value for our run for the measure P@5_W per context. It is clear from the table that, almost in all the cases P@5_W for our run is close to the best result.

5 Conclusions

In this report we have seen how to extract temporal and address information from web pages having heterogeneous structure, that is having no template common to each other and utilizing these extracted information suggest a ranked list of places to user depending on her context.